UnitedEnertech

THE ENERTECH EDGE

Fire & Air: The Damper Series

By Kent Maune

Dynamic Fire Dampers: Evolution, Testing, and System Implications

Introduction

Prior to 1992, fire and smoke dampers were tested and rated only under static conditions, meaning HVAC system fans were off during operation. In 1992, Underwriters Laboratories (UL) introduced a distinction between **Static (Fans Off)** and **Dynamic (Fans On)** fire damper systems.

This change reflected the reality that modern HVAC and smoke control systems often remain operational during a fire. Dynamic fire and smoke dampers must close against a moving airstream, a critical feature for maintaining life safety in active air systems.

Dynamic Fire and Smoke Damper Testing

Dynamic Closure Test

This test measures a damper's ability to close under airflow and pressure.

- **Typical minimum ratings:** 2,000 feet per minute (fpm) velocity and 4 inches water gauge (w.g.) static pressure
- **High-performance models:** Tested up to 4,400 fpm and 8.5 in. w.g.

Procedure: Each damper undergoes multiple closing cycles—three at ambient temperature and one at elevated temperature—to confirm consistent operation under specified airflow and pressure.

Elevated Temperature Operation Test

The damper and actuator are exposed to heated airflow (typically 250°F or 350°F) for a set period, often 15 minutes. The damper must close and reopen successfully, verifying reliability during the initial fire phase while the smoke control system is active.

Fire Endurance Test (UL 555)

Fire dampers must pass a 1.5- or 3-hour endurance test. The assembly is subjected to the UL standard time-temperature curve, reaching over 1,600°F.

Hose Stream Test (UL 555)

Immediately following the fire endurance test, the damper is subjected to a high-pressure water stream, ensuring structural integrity and continued blockage of fire and smoke.

Transition from Static to Dynamic Designs

With the introduction of dynamic ratings, traditional fuse-link curtain and multi-blade fire dampers could not meet the new requirements, prompting major design changes.

Curtain Fire Damper Modifications

- **Spring Assist Mechanisms:** Vertical dampers required stronger springs; horizontal dampers often needed multiple or reinforced springs to close reliably against airflow.

Curtain Fire Damper Modifications (cont'd.)

- **Reduced Section Size:** Maximum single-section sizes were decreased to ensure spring closure effectiveness.
- **Assembly Limitations:** Large multi-section assemblies (e.g., 10' × 10') were eliminated due to insufficient spring force, often limited to half their previous size.

Multiple-Blade Fuse-Rod Dampers

This design was phased out. Fuse rods and fuse links offered limited spring-closure capability and could not function under dynamic airflow. Motorized or spring-return actuators replaced these designs.

System Effects of Dynamic Fire Dampers

Dynamic fire dampers are intended for systems where fans remain operational to assist smoke control. However, closing a damper without a pressure relief mechanism can create significant negative pressure downstream, sometimes enough to collapse ductwork.

Sequence of Events:

1. Damper closes rapidly via spring or motorized action.
2. Pressure differential forms as the fan continues pushing air while the damper blocks flow.
3. Duct collapse occurs due to high-velocity, low-pressure (vacuum) conditions downstream.

Consequences:

- System failure disabling HVAC and smoke control
- Fire barrier compromise due to breached compartmentation
- Obstructions hindering airflow or emergency access
- High repair costs for damaged duct sections

Pressure Relief Solutions

Several engineered approaches mitigate pressure-induced failures:

- **Pressure Relief Access Doors**: Installed downstream of dynamic dampers to relieve sudden pressure differentials.
- **Slower-Closing Multi-Blade Dampers**: Reduce rapid pressure buildup in specific systems.
- **System Design Integration**: Coordinating fan controls, damper timing, and duct strength ensures overall system stability.

Limitations of Traditional Fusible-Link Fire Dampers

While still common in static systems, fusible-link dampers have significant limitations compared to dynamic-rated models:

- Delayed response to smoke or heat
- Single-use; manual reset required
- Susceptible to dirt, corrosion, and mechanical fatigue
- Lack remote monitoring or integration capability
- Unsuitable for dynamic airflow systems
- Risk of ductwork failure under pressure

Conclusion

The development of dynamic fire dampers in the early 1990s was a major advancement in life safety and HVAC integration. By enabling reliable closure under airflow, these dampers enhanced occupant protection and system performance during fires.

However, dynamic systems introduce new engineering challenges, particularly in managing pressure differentials and mechanical forces. Proper damper selection, rigorous testing, and pressure relief design are essential to meet UL standards while protecting both the building and its occupants.