United Enertech

NOVEMBER 2026

ENERTECH INSIGHTS

Reducing Smoke Migration Through HVAC Systems

A Technical Review of IBC Chapter 7 Duct and Air Transfer Opening Protection in Modern Buildings

Kent Maune, Senior Product Manager

Abstract

The primary objective of fire-resistance-rated construction is to protect occupants by limiting the spread of fire and smoke throughout a building. While the International Building Code (IBC) has continually evolved to improve fire safety, many provisions governing duct penetrations and air transfer openings continue to focus primarily on containing flames rather than controlling smoke movement during the early stages of a fire.

Modern fires differ significantly from those of previous decades. Synthetic furnishings, plastics, insulation materials, and composite building products generate large volumes of dense, toxic smoke almost immediately after ignition. Numerous fire investigations have demonstrated that occupants are far more likely to be incapacitated by smoke inhalation than by direct exposure to flames.

This paper reviews the intent of IBC Chapter 7 as it applies to HVAC duct penetrations and transfer openings, evaluates where current fire damper requirements may permit unnecessary smoke migration, and discusses where smoke dampers or combination fire/smoke dampers may provide improved life safety without fundamentally changing the intent of the building code.

Introduction

The use of fire dampers has been a cornerstone of passive fire protection for more than 90 years. Their purpose is straightforward: restore the integrity of fire-resistance-rated assemblies that have been penetrated by HVAC ducts.

When exposed to elevated temperatures, the fusible link releases, allowing the damper to close and prevent the passage of flame.

The use of fire dampers has been a cornerstone of passive fire protection for more than 90 years. Their purpose is straightforward: restore the integrity of fire-resistance-rated assemblies that have been penetrated by HVAC ducts.

When exposed to elevated temperatures, the fusible link releases, allowing the damper to close and prevent the passage of flame.

This philosophy was developed during a period when building contents were predominantly natural materials including wood, cotton, wool, and paper.

Although these materials certainly burned, they generally produced less toxic smoke than many of today's synthetic products.

The fire environment has changed dramatically.

- Modern buildings contain:
- Polyurethane foam
- PVC
- Nylon
- Polyester
- Engineered wood products
- Composite furnishings
- Synthetic floor coverings
- Electronic equipment

These materials can produce lethal concentrations of toxic gases within minutes of ignition.

Consequently, smoke—not flame—has become the leading cause of fatalities in building fires.

Modern Fire Dynamics

Fire growth today is substantially faster than in buildings of the past.

Studies conducted by NIST and NFPA have demonstrated:

- Flashover can occur in less than five minutes.
- Smoke production begins almost immediately.
- Visibility deteriorates rapidly.
- Toxic gases accumulate before significant flame spread occurs.

Among the most dangerous combustion products are:

- Carbon monoxide
- Hydrogen cyanide
- Hydrogen chloride
- Acrolein
- Formaldehyde

These gases often incapacitate occupants before temperatures become life-threatening.

Evolution of HVAC Damper Protection

Fire dampers were developed to solve one specific problem:

Prevent fire from using HVAC ducts as a pathway through fire-resistance-rated barriers.

Smoke control was not their primary purpose.

Smoke dampers were introduced decades later after research demonstrated that smoke frequently traveled farther and faster than flames.

Today the industry recognizes two distinct protective functions.

Fire Dampers

Respond to:
Elevated temperature (fusible link)

Purpose:
Prevent flame penetration.

Smoke Dampers

Respond to:
Smoke detectors

Purpose:
Prevent smoke migration.

Combination Fire/Smoke Dampers

Provide both:

- Fire protection
- Smoke containment

These assemblies close upon smoke detection while maintaining the fire resistance required by UL 555.

Review of IBC Chapter 7

The following sections identify locations where replacing fire dampers with combination fire/smoke dampers could reduce smoke migration.

Fire Partitions

Fire partitions commonly separate:

- Corridors
- Tenant spaces
- Dwelling units

Where HVAC ducts penetrate these assemblies, fire dampers are frequently required.

A fire damper remains fully open until elevated temperatures melt the fusible link.

During this delay, smoke may migrate through the duct into adjacent occupied spaces.

A combination fire/smoke damper would begin closing shortly after smoke detection, reducing smoke movement while preserving the required fire resistance.

Corridor Protection

Corridors provide the principal means of egress.

Maintaining tenable conditions within corridors is essential for occupant evacuation.

Allowing smoke to migrate through HVAC systems into corridors can rapidly reduce visibility and expose occupants to toxic gases.

Combination dampers could significantly improve corridor protection during the earliest stages of a fire.

Air Transfer Openings

Transfer openings often connect occupied rooms through walls or ceilings.

Although protected against flame spread, these openings may still permit significant smoke movement before a fire damper closes.

Where smoke movement presents a life safety concern, leakage-rated smoke dampers could substantially improve compartmentation.

Occupancy Separations

Fire barriers separating occupancies are intended to maintain compartment integrity.

Smoke migration through HVAC ducts may compromise this objective well before flames reach the barrier.

Combination dampers would better align the protection of the HVAC opening with the overall intent of compartmentation.

Return Air Systems

Large return-air systems may transport smoke throughout multiple zones within minutes.

While HVAC shutdown limits this hazard, smoke often enters the return system before shutdown occurs.

Smoke dampers activated by area smoke detectors can isolate the affected zone much earlier than heat-operated fire dampers.

Why This Matters

Fire damper activation temperatures typically range from **165°F to 212°F** depending on the fusible link.

Smoke detectors frequently activate when temperatures remain well below **100°F**.

This difference may represent several minutes of uncontrolled smoke migration.

During those minutes:

- Evacuation is underway
- Corridors remain occupied
- Stairwells are filling
- Smoke may spread to remote compartments

Earlier closure represents a significant life safety improvement.

Existing Code Philosophy

IBC already recognizes the importance of smoke containment.

Smoke barriers require smoke dampers.

Smoke control systems rely on smoke dampers.

Smoke compartments depend upon leakage-rated assemblies.

The question becomes:

Should similar protection be extended to additional HVAC penetrations where smoke migration presents the greater life safety hazard?

Potential Areas for Future Evaluation

Future code studies could evaluate requiring combination fire/smoke dampers for:

- Fire partition penetrations serving occupied spaces.
- Corridor wall penetrations.
- Air transfer openings connecting occupied compartments.

- Return-air penetrations between smoke compartments.
- Occupancy separation penetrations.
- Other locations where early smoke migration presents a greater hazard than delayed flame spread.

Such studies should evaluate:

- Life-cycle cost.
- Maintenance requirements.
- False activation rates.
- Reliability.
- Smoke migration modeling.
- Occupant tenability improvements.
- Cost-benefit analysis.

Conclusions

The objective of passive fire protection has always been to preserve life by maintaining the integrity of fire-resistance-rated construction. While fire dampers continue to provide effective protection against flame spread, the nature of today's fire environment suggests that smoke containment deserves equal consideration.

Modern buildings contain materials that produce toxic smoke far more rapidly than the natural materials that influenced many of the original fire damper requirements. As a result, occupants are frequently endangered by smoke long before elevated temperatures activate heat-responsive fire dampers.

Expanding the use of leakage-rated combination fire/smoke dampers in carefully selected locations could reduce smoke migration through HVAC systems during the critical early stages of a fire while maintaining the fire-resistance performance already required by the IBC. Such an approach would not replace fire dampers but would build upon the existing code philosophy by addressing both flame and smoke hazards within a single listed assembly.

Further research involving computational fluid dynamics, fire modeling, field data, and cost-benefit analyses would help determine where these enhancements would provide the greatest life safety benefit. As building materials, fire dynamics, and occupant expectations continue to evolve, so too should the methods used to protect occupants from the hazards of both fire and smoke.

Kent Maune is Senior Product Manager, Life Safety and Control Dampers, for United Enertech. His experience during over 40 years in the HVAC industry (dampers and louvers) includes engineering, R & D, operations, sales, and extensive experience in the development and marketing of life safety product lines. He has also developed and conducted training seminars for code officials, engineers, fire marshals, architects, and sheet metal contractors on fire/smoke damper installation, options, and variations. Kent is a member of NFPA (National Fire Protection Association) and a chair of CARC (Code Action Review Committee). kmaune@unitedenertech.com